The influence of rotational frontogenesis and its associated shearwise vertical motions on the development of an upper-level front
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The total quasi-geostrophic (QG) vertical motion is partitioned into transverse and shearwise couplets oriented parallel to, and along, the geostrophic vertical shear, respectively, in order to examine the role of rotational frontogenesis, and its associated shearwise circulation, in the life cycle of an upper-front/jet system in northwesterly flow. In particular the analysis emphasizes two aspects of that role: (1) the influence of shearwise $\omega$ on scalar frontogenesis, and (2) the effect of rotational frontogenesis, and its associated vertical circulation, on the initiation of along-flow cold air advection during upper frontogenesis.

The case-study analysis reveals that shearwise subsidence persistently and significantly contributes to scalar frontogenesis throughout the life cycle. The transverse subsidence contribution to scalar frontogenesis is initially weak but grows more substantial after the establishment of along-flow cold air advection near the base of the thermal trough.

Prior work has argued that the development of along-flow cold air advection arises from a cyclonic rotation of $\nabla \theta$ promoted either by contributions from tilting or horizontal kinematics. From the QG perspective adopted in the present study, the tilting and kinematic rotations are seen as interconnected aspects of a single, underlying dynamical process: rotational frontogenesis, in which the rotation of $\nabla \theta$ produced by vertical vorticity is accompanied by a discrete vertical circulation (the shearwise circulation) that provides the subsidence upshear of the vorticity maximum required to initiate along-flow cold air advection. It is further suggested that the characteristic distribution of the kinematic and tilting contributions to rotation of $\nabla \theta$ in the vicinity of an upper-tropospheric vorticity maximum underlies the observed preference for the development of upper-level fronts in northwesterly flow.
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1. Introduction

A distinguishing feature of the baroclinic wave life cycle is the development of narrow zones of enhanced temperature and momentum gradients known as frontal zones. Such zones are characterized by large density contrasts, enhanced static stability, local maxima in vertical vorticity, strong vertical wind shear, widths of order 100 km, and lengths of order 1000 km. Though baroclinic zones with these frontal properties can exist throughout the entire depth of the
troposphere and lower stratosphere, they are particularly robust near the Earth’s surface (surface fronts) where an impermeable solid boundary exists and at upper levels (upper-level fronts) near the thermodynamic boundary represented by the tropopause.

A resurgence of research attention surrounding aspects of the life cycle of upper-level frontal zones has followed Keyser and Shapiro’s (1986) comprehensive review of the first half-century of work concerning the structure and dynamics of these features. From among the many topics discussed and questions raised therein, the nature of the vertical motions involved in upper-level frontogenesis, and the environments within which these vertical motions develop, are most germane to the present study. The Sawyer (1956)–Eliassen (1962) equation was the first diagnostic tool to explicitly make the connection between frontogenetic forcing (stretching and shearing deformation in geostrophic flow) and the production of the transverse ageostrophic circulation. Arguing from the Sawyer–Eliassen perspective, Shapiro (1981) suggested that the presence of cold air advection in cyclonic shear could strengthen the subsiding branch of the direct circulation in the confluent cold air advection in cyclonic shear could strengthen the subsiding branch of the direct circulation in the confluent upper baroclinic zone (i.e. what was later termed the jet entrance region and shift it toward the warm side of the vorticity maximum (highlighted by RSS)). This suggestion was demonstrated in a two-dimensional (2D), primitive equation simulation by Keyser and Pecnick (1985) who found subsidence maximized beneath the jet core under such circumstances. Such a distribution of vertical motion is strongly upper-frontogenetical.

Considerable debate has ensued regarding the mechanisms by which cold air advection, central to the initiation of the frontogenetical Shapiro effect, develops in the vicinity of the maturing upper-level front. In fact, this question has been examined from both idealized modeling and observational perspectives. Rotunno et al. (1994) (hereafter RSS) analysed normal-mode cyclogenesis in a primitive equation channel model to examine the upper frontogenesis process in an atmosphere with a constant potential vorticity (PV) stratosphere. They considered the transition from a nearly equivalent barotropic environment, with little or no along-flow thermal advection in the upper troposphere, to an environment characterized by strong cold air advection near the base of an upper trough just a day later. They argued that the development of such along-flow cold air advection was initiated by subsidence maximized in northwesterly flow slightly downstream of the inflection between a ridge and downstream trough (i.e. upstream of a vorticity maximum). The along-flow increase in the strength of the subsidence, through its associated variations in adiabatic warming, induced a cyclonic rotation of the horizontal potential temperature gradient vector (hereafter \(V_h \theta\) which reoriented the isentropes relative to the isohyets (\(\phi\) and promoted the development of cold air advection. RSS did not relate this subsidence to any particular dynamical process.

In an observational study, Schultz and Doswell (1999) (hereafter SD) extended the 2D vector frontogenesis formulation of Keyser et al. (1988) to include terms related to vertical tilting in order to consider the mechanism(s) underlying the initiation and distribution of along-flow cold air advection in an observed case of northwesterly flow upper-level frontogenesis. (They also considered a case of the less studied southwesterly flow upper-level frontogenesis from this perspective and found that increasing cold air advection along the front was related to an upstream vorticity maximum in both cases.) They concluded that the rotation of \(V_h \theta\) associated with tilting was of second-order importance compared to that associated with vertical vorticity. They were thus led to emphasize the role of horizontal winds in the rotation of isentropes and in the establishment of cold air advection near the base of the thermal trough. (A similar conclusion with regard to this question, based upon examination of a thermal advection tendency equation, was reached by Schultz and Sanders (2002).) Though vorticity rotates every vector field equally, and therefore cannot promote the differential rotation of \(V \theta\) relative to \(V_h \theta\) required to initiate along-flow geostrophic cold air advection, SD’s analysis nonetheless suggested a primary role for rotational frontogenesis in the upper-frontal life cycle.

The present paper examines a case of northwesterly flow upper frontogenesis in order to demonstrate that these seemingly disparate views may be interpreted as components of a single, underlying process by which along-flow cold air advection, and the frontogenetic Shapiro effect, develop during upper frontogenesis. The diagnostic tool by which this interpretation is advanced is the partitioned quasi-geostrophic (QG) \(Q\)-vector approach first suggested by Keyser et al. (1988), and explored more thoroughly by Keyser et al. (1992). As will be shown later, this approach allows the discrete vertical circulations associated with changes in the magnitude and direction of \(V \theta\) (the so-called scalar and rotational frontogenesis, respectively) to be isolated. The analysis will show that the rotational frontogenesis (highlighted by SD) is accompanied by a vertical circulation that provides the subsidence upstream of the vorticity maximum (highlighted by RSS) required to initiate along-flow cold air advection.

The paper is structured in the following manner. Section 2 provides a description of vector frontogenesis and its QG equivalent which leads directly to the method of partitioning QG omega. Section 3 provides a synoptic overview of the upper front of interest. Analysis of the QG vertical motion associated with the evolution of the upper front is presented in section 4. Section 5 provides analysis and discussion of the results, offers a summary, and points to future work.

2. Vector frontogenesis and vertical motion

Keyser et al. (1988) provided a generalization of the Petterssen (1936) frontogenesis equation, by examining the Lagrangian rate of change of the magnitude and direction of the horizontal potential temperature gradient vector,

\[
F = \frac{d}{dt} V_h \theta.
\]  

(1)

In their formulation, they considered the effect of the horizontal wind only, so that \(\frac{d}{dt} \theta = \frac{u}{\theta} + \frac{v}{\partial \theta}/ \partial x + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.\) Keyser et al. (1988) exploited this conceptual extension of \(F\) by considering components using a natural coordinate system, defined by the local orientation of the isentropes in the horizontal plane such that \(n\) was directed across the isentropes toward colder air and \(s\) was 90° clockwise of \(n.\) In such a coordinate frame, \(F = F_n + F_s.\) Frontogenetic forcing in the \(n\) direction was referred to as scalar
Figure 1. (a) 400 hPa geopotential height (solid lines), potential temperature (dashed) and absolute vorticity (shading) from the Eta model analysis valid at 1200 UTC 11 November 2003. Geopotential height is labelled in m and contoured every 120 m. Isentropes are labelled in K and contoured every 4 K. Absolute vorticity shown in units of $10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$ and shaded every $5 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$ beginning at $15 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$. (b) 300 hPa geopotential height (solid lines) and isotachs (shaded) from the Eta model analysis valid at 1200 UTC 11 November 2003. Geopotential height labelled as in Figure 1(a) and contoured every 200 m. Isotachs shown in m s$^{-1}$ and shaded every 10 m s$^{-1}$ beginning at 40 m s$^{-1}$. (c) 400 hPa geopotential height (solid lines), isentropes (dashed lines) and geostrophic temperature advection (shading) from the Eta model analysis valid at 1200 UTC 11 November 2003. Geopotential height labelled and contoured as in Figure 1(a). Isentropes labelled in K and contoured every 3 K. Dark (light) shading represents negative (positive) geostrophic temperature advection in units of K s$^{-1}$, contoured every $-2 \times 10^{-4}$ K s$^{-1}$ beginning at $-2 \times 10^{-4}$ K s$^{-1}$.

Frontogenesis or $F_n$ and is equal to

$$F_n = -\frac{|\nabla \theta|}{2} (E \cos 2\beta - D) \quad (2a)$$

where $E$ is the total deformation, $D$ is the divergence and $\beta$ is the angle between the isentropes and the axis of dilatation of the total deformation field. This type of forcing is associated with a modification of the magnitude of the potential temperature gradient and is equivalent to Petterssen’s frontogenesis equation (note that $F_n < 0$ describes frontogenesis). Frontogenetic forcing in the $s$ direction was referred to as rotational frontogenesis or $F_s$.

and is equal to

$$F_s = \frac{|\nabla \theta|}{2} (E \sin 2\beta + \zeta) \quad (3a)$$

where $\zeta$ is the vertical vorticity. This type of forcing results in a modification of the direction of the potential temperature gradient vector.

Noting the importance of vertical motions in the life cycle of upper-level fronts, SD extended Keyser et al.’s (1988) methodology to include the frontogenetical effects of vertical motions. Accordingly, they derived expressions for the rates of change of the magnitude and direction of the horizontal potential temperature gradient following the 3D
The natural coordinate components of the resulting expression

$$F = \frac{d}{dt} \nabla \theta = F_n + F_s$$

are

$$F_n = -\frac{\nabla \theta}{2} (E \cos 2\beta - D) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial p} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial n}$$

(2b)

and

$$F_s = \frac{\nabla \theta}{2} (E \sin 2\beta + \zeta) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial p} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial s}.$$  

(3b)

Taking up the suggestion made by Keyser \textit{et al.} (1988), who noted that the so-called \(Q\) vector, introduced by Hoskins \textit{et al.} (1978), was the \(Q\)G form of (1), Keyser \textit{et al.} (1992) applied the quasi-geostrophic (\(Q\)G) assumption to \(F\). Exploiting the fact that for horizontal adiabatic flow, the \(Q\)G omega equation

$$\sigma \left( \nabla^2 + f \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma} \right) \omega = -2 \nabla \cdot Q$$

(5)

where \(\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \v \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\) and \(p\) represents differentiation on a constant pressure surface, they employed a similar natural coordinate partitioning of \(Q\) where \(Q = Q_n + Q_s\).

The across (along) isentrope component of \(Q\), \(Q_n = Q_s\), describes the rate of change of the magnitude (direction) of \(\nabla \theta\) following the geostrophic wind. (Note that \(Q_n\) (\(Q_s\)) is the geostrophic equivalent of \(F_n\) (\(F_s\)); when \(Q_s\) is directed along (opposite) the geostrophic vertical shear, cyclonic (anticyclonic) rotation of \(\nabla \theta\) is implied.)

Since the \(Q\)G omega equation

$$\sigma \left( \nabla^2 + f \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma} \right) \omega = -2 \nabla \cdot Q$$

(5)
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describes the vertical motion \( \omega \) forced by the divergence of the QG equivalent of \( \mathbf{F} \) (the \( \mathbf{Q} \) vector), it follows that the divergences of \( \mathbf{Q} \), \( \mathbf{Q}_a \), and \( \mathbf{Q}_s \) return the total \( \omega_{\text{tot}} \), transverse \( \omega_n \), and shearwise \( \omega_s \) QG vertical motions, respectively. Thus, this framework allows the vertical circulation associated with rotational frontogenesis (what Martin (2006) termed the shearwise \( \omega \)) to be isolated and its effects on aspects of the development of an upper-level front to be examined. Motivated by a desire to develop a more comprehensive understanding of the role of rotational frontogenesis in the development of upper-level frontal zones, the present paper particularly considers two such aspects: (1) the contribution of shearwise \( \omega \) to scalar frontogenesis via the tilting term, the last term in (2b), and (2) the effect of rotational frontogenesis, and its associated vertical circulation, on the initiation of along-flow cold air advection during upper frontogenesis. As the study focuses on the life cycle of a characteristic northwesterly flow upper-level front over central North America, the analysis begins with a synoptic overview of that feature.

3. Synoptic evolution of the upper front

At 1200 UTC 11 November 2003, a high-amplitude flow pattern over North America was evident as a strongly baroclinic northwesterly flow entered the northwestern United States (Figure 1(a)). At 400 hPa this baroclinic zone contained a strip of vorticity oriented along the isentropes from extreme southeastern Alaska to Washington. Downstream of the Pacific Northwest, the baroclinic zone weakened. Another noteworthy feature of the flow was the dominant positively tilted short-wave trough that stretched southwestward from southwestern Wyoming across the California coast into the Pacific Ocean. The upper-level flow over the United States was
characterized by a second baroclinic zone that originated downstream of the axis of this short wave and stretched northeastwards over the Rockies to the Great Lakes region (Figure 1(a)). The jet associated with this short wave possessed winds exceeding 70 m s$^{-1}$ over the central Plains (Figure 1(b)). At this time, the polar jet was oriented nearly perpendicularly to the subtropical jet, and was also a fairly linear feature with a maximum speed of 70 m s$^{-1}$ over southeastern Alaska (Figure 1(b)). Geostrophic temperature advection at 400 hPa along the northwesterly flow baroclinic zone was uniformly positive at this time (Figure 1(c)).

By 0000 UTC 12 November, the northern 400 hPa short wave, located at the left exit region of the polar jet, had shifted slightly eastward as had its associated baroclinic zone (Figure 2(a)). The southern extremity of this developing upper front reached further south than at the previous time, extending into southwestern Wyoming. The 400 hPa thermal trough had also amplified by this time, with its axis stretching from central Alberta, through central Montana, into Wyoming. At 300 hPa the northwesterly jet axis was also oriented more or less along the isentropes that defined the upper front. The maximum wind speed, centred over the British Columbia–Alberta border, had decreased to just over 60 m s$^{-1}$ (Figure 2(b)). This jet exhibited an abrupt exit region, situated near the Idaho–Wyoming border, where the flow joined the northwestern edge of the southwesterly subtropical jet along the front range of the Rocky Mountains. The 400 hPa geostrophic temperature advection along the polar jet was, as before, uniformly positive to the west of the thermal and geopotential height trough axes (Figure 2(c)).

By 1200 UTC 12 November the upper-level front had intensified from Alberta southward into the northern Plains of the United States where it reached the base of the geopotential height trough over the South Dakota/Nebraska border. The strongest portion of the front was centred on the eastern border of Wyoming, where the potential temperature gradient was $\sim 5.25$ K (100 km)$^{-1}$ (Figure 3(a)). At 300 hPa, the abrupt exit region of the northwesterly jet weakened. The flow joined the southwesterly jet streak, creating a meandering polar jet which had several speed maxima of over 60 m s$^{-1}$ scattered across northern Alberta, the central Plains, and the southern Great Lakes regions (Figure 3(b)).
During the intervening 12 h substantial geostrophic cold air advection, centred over northwestern Nebraska, had developed at the base of the 400 hPa thermal trough (Figure 3(c)).

By 0000 UTC 13 November the upper front stretched from the northern Plains to the Great Lakes region where it emerged in the southwesterly flow over lower Michigan (Figure 4(a)). The magnitude of the potential temperature gradient (≈8 K (100 km)\(^{-1}\) along the eastern border of Iowa) reached its peak at this time. The absolute vorticity at 400 hPa was oriented in a strip along the upper front, with a local maximum at the eastern edge of the frontal zone (Figure 4(a)). The 300 hPa jet streak centred over southern Iowa continued to intensify, reaching speeds of over 80 m s\(^{-1}\) by this time (Figure 4(b)). The jet axis was oriented along the warm side of the upper front with stronger geostrophic cold air advection through the jet core (Figure 4(c)) than at any prior time. After this time, the upper front became a component of a troposphere-deep frontal structure associated with the cyclogenesis event described by Martin (2006).

4. Quasi-geostrophic vertical motion

In this section, gridded model analyses from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction’s (NCEP’s) Eta model (Eta 104-grid) are used in the calculation of QG omega and its components. These gridded data are first bilinearly interpolated from their original output grid to a 1° × 1° grid.
Variables labelled, contoured, and shaded as in Figure 6(a). \( \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} \) eliminates roughly 2/3 of the energy at wavelengths ≤660 km, yielding results similar to those achieved using the cowbell filter described by Barnes et al. (1996) for use in quasi-geostrophic diagnostics with mesoscale models. Employing the technique of successive over-relaxation (SOR), we then solve the \( f \)-plane version of the QG omega equation using a spatially averaged static stability that varies for each time with \( f_0 \) set equal to the central latitude (45.5°N) of the domain for this case. With geostrophic forcing corresponding to the divergences of \( Q, Q_n \), and \( Q_s \), the total (\( \omega_{tot} \)), transverse (\( \omega_n \)), and shearwise (\( \omega_s \)) QG vertical motions, respectively, are returned in units of Pa s\(^{-1}\). As noted by Martin (1999), since \( n \) and \( s \) change direction along isentropes, \( \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{n} \) and \( \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{s} \) can contribute to \( \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_n \) and \( \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_s \), respectively. Calculation of this effect (not shown) revealed that the unit vector contribution to \( Q \) divergence was negligible in this case. The subsequent analysis centres on the evolution of QG omega and the tilting frontogenesis at both the 400 hPa level and in vertical cross-sections corresponding to the time periods analysed in section 3. Since the tilting frontogenesis is given by \( \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} \) (i.e. the last term in (2b)), we shall separately refer to the total, shearwise, and transverse tilting frontogenesis calculated using the \( \omega_{tot}, \omega_n, \) and \( \omega_s \), respectively.

The 400 hPa total QG vertical motion field along with the total tilting frontogenesis at 1200 UTC 11 November is shown in Figure 5(a). The northwesterly flow baroclinic zone was characterized by several pockets of subsidence along the Canadian west coast (Figure 5(a)) that combined to produce a nearly continuous swath of positive tilting frontogenesis on the cold (i.e. cyclonic shear) side of the upper baroclinic zone throughout British Columbia. At the southern end of the baroclinic zone, ascent was located from eastern Washington to southern Alberta and the isentropes fanned out immediately downstream of this region. In the partitioned omega fields, pockets of shearwise omega captured nearly all of the full QG vertical motion in the northwesterly flow (Figure 5(b)). Correspondingly, the shearwise tilting frontogenesis (Figure 5(b)) is very similar to the total tilting frontogenesis shown in Figure 5(a). Meanwhile, the transverse omega contributed very little to the full QG omega in the vicinity of the upper front (Figure 5(c)) and correspondingly meager transverse tilting frontogenesis. The relatively strong couplet of shearwise omega located over southern British Columbia and Washington State (Figure 5(b)) was related to \( Q \) vectors that were associated with positive rotational frontogenesis and a corresponding counter-clockwise rotation of the isentropes along that portion of the baroclinic zone.

Several cross-sections perpendicular to the upper front at this time (along the line A–A’ in Figure 5(a)) were constructed. The total QG omega along this line, illustrated in Figure 6(a), shows subsidence between 325 hPa and 800 hPa, with a maximum centred at approximately 475 hPa, slightly on the warm side of the baroclinic zone beneath the jet core. This distribution contributed to intensification of the upper front via total tilting frontogenesis from the level of maximum winds to ~600 hPa on the cyclonic shear side of the jet. Nearly all of the differential subsidence across the baroclinic zone, and the resulting tilting frontogenesis, was accounted for by the shearwise component of vertical motion and its attendant shearwise tilting frontogenesis (Figure 6(b)), as the transverse vertical motion contributed very little (Figure 6(c)).

At 0000 UTC 12 November, subsidence continued to dominate the total QG vertical motion in the northwesterly flow as the upper front intensified (Figure 7(a)). The
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southern portion of the frontal zone, located from the Montana/Alberta border to central Wyoming, was characterized by the largest subsidence with three local maxima on the warm side of the front contributing to dramatically larger tilting frontogenesis than had been seen earlier. The $Q$ vectors along this portion of the frontal zone were associated with continued positive rotational frontogenesis (Figure 7(b)). The corresponding shearwise omega field (Figure 7(b)), though contributing the largest share of the total descent, was not the dominant frontogenetic factor at this time, as the transverse omega played an equal role in forcing warm-side subsidence along this portion of the front by this time (Figure 7(c)). In fact, the linear nature of the total tilting frontogenesis there (Figure 7(a)) was largely a function of the transverse tilting frontogenesis.

Several vertical cross-sections perpendicular to the upper front at this time (along line B–B' in Figure 7(a)) are shown in Figure 8. These sections cut through the northern region of maximum subsidence within the upper frontal zone. QG subsidence characterized the entire depth of the troposphere beneath the jet core, with a local maximum between 600 and 300 hPa within the upper front (Figure 8(a)). The resulting total tilting frontogenesis was strong between the jet level and ~550 hPa on the cyclonic shear side of the jet core. Shearwise omega was responsible for the majority of the subsidence along this cross-section line, and roughly half of the total tilting frontogenesis, throughout the depth of the troposphere (Figure 8(b)). The small patch of transverse subsidence located on the warm side of the upper front, with a maximum centred at approximately 400 hPa, was in a position where it too contributed to the thermally indirect circulation that promoted upper frontogenesis on the cyclonic shear side of the jet (Figure 8(c)).

The distribution of vertical motion at 1200 UTC 12 November was characterized by an amplified region of subsidence on the warm edge of the frontal zone on the
November 2003.

for Figure 6(a)–(c) but from the Eta model analysis valid at 0000 UTC 12 November) along an axis from southeastern Montana to northeastern Nebraska. The subsidence was extremely strong total tilting frontogenesis that continued along the southern extent of the frontal zone. The corresponding shearwise omega was largely responsible for the couplet of vertical motion and continued to contribute the majority of vertical motion associated with the developing upper front (Figure 9(b)). However, the contribution by the transverse omega continued to increase, with a band of subsidence oriented along and to the warm side of the most intense portion of the upper front from southeastern Montana to southeastern Nebraska (Figure 9(c)) which contributed to strong transverse tilting frontogenesis from southeastern Montana through South Dakota. The shearwise tilting frontogenesis was nearly coincident, of comparable magnitude nearly everywhere and of greater magnitude in southeastern Montana (Figure 9(b)).

Vertical cross-sections just upstream of the thermal trough at this time are shown in Figure 10. The \(\omega_{\text{tot}}\) maximum toward the warm side of the frontal zone was responsible for significant total tilting frontogenesis on the cyclonic shear side of the jet from \(\sim 250\) to \(600\) hPa (Figure 10(a)). Roughly 60% of the total tilting frontogenesis was accounted for by the shearwise tilting frontogenesis (Figure 10(b)), with the remainder contributed by the weaker transverse tilting frontogenesis (Figure 10(c)).

By 0000 UTC 13 November the magnitude of total QG ascent downstream of the upper front surpassed the magnitude of total QG subsidence within the upper front (Figure 11(a)) which had weakened substantially in the twelve-hour period, to approximately \(10\) dPa s\(^{-1}\). The associated total tilting frontogenesis had weakened in the same interval yet continued to display a similar distribution relative to the frontal zone as it had 12 h previously. Strong ascent, roughly \(18\) dPa s\(^{-1}\), was associated with rapid surface cyclogenesis over the eastern Great Lakes, as described by Martin (2006). This pocket of ascent was primarily shearwise omega (Figure 11(b)) with some contribution from the transverse ascent (Figure 11(c)), located in the left exit region of the jet streak. The total QG subsidence was composed of a shearwise contribution along the South Dakota/Nebraska border (Figure 11(b)) and a broad band of predominantly transverse subsidence stretching from southern Manitoba to Illinois (Figure 11(c)). It was this subsidence and its associated transverse tilting frontogenesis that dictated the overall linear nature of the total tilting frontogenesis at this time.

Vertical cross-sections of tilting frontogenesis near the base of the thermal trough at this time are shown in Figure 12. Total tilting frontogenesis remained strong from the level of maximum winds to \(\sim 600\) hPa (Figure 12(a)). The contributions from shearwise and transverse tilting frontogenesis were nearly identical at this time, especially in the upper troposphere on the cyclonic shear side of the jet (Figure 12(b)–(c)). The thermally direct transverse couplet below \(550\) hPa (Figure 12(c)) attended near-surface frontal intensification associated with rapid cyclogenesis that was occurring over the eastern Great Lakes (Martin, 2006).

5. Discussion and conclusions

In this paper the partitioned QG omega perspective has been employed to examine the role of rotational frontogenesis in the development of an upper-level front in northwesterly flow. In particular, the analysis has emphasized two aspects of that role: (1) the contribution of shearwise \(\omega\) to scalar frontogenesis, and (2) the effect of rotational frontogenesis,
Figure 9. (a) As for Figure 5(a) but from the Eta model analysis valid at 1200 UTC 12 November 2003. Vertical cross-sections along line C-C’ shown in Fig. 10. (b) As for Figure 5(b) but from the Eta model analysis valid at 1200 UTC 12 November 2003. (c) As for Figure 5(c) but from the Eta model analysis valid at 1200 UTC 12 November 2003.

and its associated vertical circulation, on the initiation of along-flow cold air advection during upper frontogenesis.

Though SD established the importance of rotational frontogenesis to upper frontogenesis, they were not able to explicitly consider the role that the secondary vertical circulation associated with the rotational frontogenesis might play in the intensification of an upper-level front. The scalar frontogenesis function employed here is that derived by SD to account for the rate of change of the magnitude of the horizontal potential temperature gradient following the 3D wind (2b). Our analysis of the separate contributions made by $\omega_{tot}, \omega_s, \omega_n$ to the tilting scalar frontogenesis (e.g. $-\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial p} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial n}$, the last term in (2b)) suggests that a substantial share (the largest share throughout most of this upper-front life cycle) was contributed by the shearwise subsidence. As the upper front intensified and along-flow cold air advection developed near and upshear of the base of the thermal trough, the transverse tilting acquired larger magnitude and exerted a greater control on the linear geometry of the evolving frontal zone.

Though upper-level fronts are known to develop in both northwesterly and southwesterly flow, the most intense upper frontogenesis events take place in northwesterly flow where the primary intensification mechanism is differential subsidence (Schultz and Doswell, 1999, p. 2536). A particularly favourable synoptic environment within which northwesterly flow upper frontogenesis occurs is one characterized by cold air advection in cyclonic shear. A number of modelling studies based on observed and idealized flows (i.e. Shapiro, 1981; Keyser and Pecnick, 1985; Keyser et al., 1986; Reeder and Keyser, 1988; Rotunno et al., 1994) have shown that cold air advection in cyclonic shear promotes the establishment of a thermally indirect transverse circulation capable of scalar frontogenetic tilting and upper frontogenesis. In most observed cases of northwesterly flow upper frontogenesis, however, cold air advection does not occur along the entire length of the developing front. In fact, the majority of cases described in the literature exhibit mixed thermal advection along their lengths: cold air advection near the base of the thermal trough but often warm air advection some distance upstream of the thermal trough (Schultz and Doswell, 1999).
Rotunno et al. (1994) analysed normal-mode cyclogenesis in a baroclinic primitive equation channel model to examine the northwesterly flow upper frontogenesis process and mechanisms for the onset of along-front cold air advection. Their analysis focused on subsiding cold air in northwesterly flow between an upstream ridge and downstream trough as the primary mechanism for establishing cold air advection in cyclonic shear. Further clarification concerning the role of subsidence in this regard arises from the analysis of SD who showed that an along-isentrope increase in subsidence (i.e. \( \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial s} > 0 \)) promotes cyclonic rotation of \( \nabla \theta \) through the tilting contribution to \( F_s (\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial p}) \), though they considered this of secondary importance and concluded that the primary mechanism for establishing cyclonic rotation of \( \nabla \theta \) was provided, kinematically, by the vorticity field itself.

In the face of such different emphases, it is instructive to consider the fact that the environment surrounding a vorticity maximum in shear flow can be conceptually divided into three regions: one upshear of the maximum, one downshear of the maximum, and one encompassing the maximum, as shown schematically in Figure 13. The Sutcliffe (1947)/Trenberth (1978) approximation to the QG \( \omega \)-equation diagnoses the characteristic distribution of vertical motions in this environment with a subsidence (ascent) maximum upshear (downshear) of the vorticity maximum as illustrated. Thus, in the regions upshear and downshear of the vorticity maximum \( \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial s} > 0 \) and a cyclonic rotation of \( \nabla \theta \) by tilting is implied via the last term in (3b). In the region encompassing the vorticity maxima, however, \( \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial s} < 0 \) and anticyclonic rotation via tilting is implied. Given that \( \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial s} \) is smaller upshear and downshear of the vorticity maximum than it is in the vicinity of the vorticity maximum, the amount of rotation of \( \nabla \theta \) provided by tilting is smaller in the upshear and downshear regions than that provided by tilting in the vicinity of the vorticity maximum. Furthermore, SD demonstrated that the cyclonic rotation of \( \nabla \theta \) provided by the vertical vorticity in the vicinity of the vorticity maximum is larger in magnitude than the opposing tendency provided by the tilting. Therefore, the total rotational frontogenesis is largest near the vorticity maximum where cyclonic rotation by vorticity far exceeds anticyclonic rotation via tilting. Were the schematic vorticity maxima in Figure 13 embedded within an upper trough axis, the upshear (downshear) region would be characterized by northwesterly (southwesterly) flow and parcels flowing toward the vorticity maxima (i.e. toward the trough axis in northwesterly flow) would experience a systematic increase in the forcing for cyclonic rotation of \( \nabla \theta \); whereas quite the opposite would apply to those parcels streaming away from the vorticity maxima in southwesterly flow. This characteristic distribution of the kinematic and tilting contributions to rotational frontogenesis suggests that the development of cold air advection in cyclonic shear is preferred in northwesterly flow, resulting in more intense and more frequent upper frontogenesis under such circumstances.
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The seemingly contradictory views of RSS and SD regarding the establishment of cold air advection and the frontogenetical Shapiro effect may now be reconciled. RSS emphasized the importance of subsidence maximized downstream of the northwesterly flow inflection point between a ridge and downstream trough as central to instigating the cyclonic rotation of $\nabla \theta$ near and upstream of the base of the trough. Such a subsidence maximum is apparent in Figures 7(b), 9(b) and 11(b). SD emphasized the kinematic influence of the vorticity maximum itself on rotation of $\nabla \theta$. Such an influence is manifest in the $Q_s$ vectors shown in Figures 7(b), 9(b) and 11(b) which imply cyclonic rotation of $\nabla \theta$ at the location of the vorticity maximum. Since the strongest rotation will occur coincident with the largest $Q_s$ vectors, there must be $Q_s$ divergence (convergence) upshear (downshear) of that location. Thus, the shearwise subsidence maxima located upstream of the trough axis in Figures 7(b), 9(b) and 11(b) are the sinking branches of the vertical circulation associated with the rotational frontogenesis. Consequently, the subsidence emphasized by RSS and the kinematic rotation emphasized by SD are interconnected aspects of a single, underlying dynamical process: rotational frontogenesis.

A synthesis of prior results with the present analysis suggests that rotational frontogenesis contributes to upper-level frontogenesis in the following ways. First, as demonstrated by SD, the rotational frontogenesis provides forcing for cyclonic rotation of $\nabla \theta$ near the base of the geopotential trough (more generally the relative vorticity maximum). Second, as shown here, the rotational frontogenesis is itself associated with a vertical circulation (the shearwise circulation) that provides the upshear subsidence maximum that initiates along-flow cold air advection as suggested by RSS. Thirdly, the shearwise subsidence persistently and significantly contributes to frontogenetic tilting. The superposition of the transverse and shearwise subsidence maxima, associated with the Shapiro effect and the rotational frontogenesis that spawns the Shapiro effect, respectively, led to a period of rapid upper frontogenesis in the present case. Whether or not the set of circumstances outlined here is characteristic of the life cycle of upper tropospheric jet/front systems is an outstanding question.

The dominant influence of the shearwise $\omega$ in the early stages of northwesterly flow upper frontogenesis in the present analysis, in terms of both the tilting frontogenesis and the initiation of cold air advection at the base of the thermal trough, is reminiscent of the primacy of shearwise updraughts in the cyclogenetic stage of the extratropical cyclone life cycle as described by Martin (2006, 2007). In those studies, as in this one, the contributions of the transverse vertical motions to the processes in question...
gradually increased as frontal structures began to take shape. This similarity suggests that the shearwise vertical motions, what Keyser et al. (1992) referred to as wave-scale vertical motions, and the associated process of rotational frontogenesis play a primary role in moulding the large-scale flow into some of the basic synoptic-scale structures involved in the production of sensible weather in the extratropics.
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